00547

1981/04/17

SECRET

PARTY NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

COPY NO. _ ... 3U

JCS 2118/292-2

17 April 1981

Pages 7 - 13, incl.

REPORT BY THE 3-5

to the

JOINT CHIRFS OF STAFF

υĐ

UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP (U)
Reference: JCS 2118/292-1

DECISION

- 1. At their meeting on 28 April 1981, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, after making amendments, approved the recommendations in paragraph 11 of this report.
- 2. Enclosure B, with its Appendix and Enclosure A (less its Annex), was sent as JCSM-159-Bl, ll May 1981, to the Secretary of Defense.
- 3. This decision replaces the paper. Holders are requested to descroy the superseded paper in accordance with security

DECLASSIFIED

By J.: A + S 6-47

DISTRIBUTION:

DISTRIBUTION:			
Gen Jones (CJCS) Gen Meyer (CSA) Adm Hayward (CNO) Gen Aften (CSAf) Gen Harrow (CMC) Gen Pustay (Asst to CJCS) Adm Hanson (DJS)	(1) (6) (6) (6) (4) (1)	Gen Gast (J-3) Adm Carroll (J-4) Gen Gorman (J-5) Gen Dickinson (C3s) Gen Tighe (DIA) Col McConville (SJCS) LCol Keys (DSJCS)	(3) (2) (4) (2) (3) (1) (1)

INTERNAL STAPE PAPER RELEASE COVERED BY JCS MOP 39

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, J-5 DECLASSIFY ON 17 APRIL 1987

THIS COVER PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS JCS 2118/292-2

(Paper revised by Decision); (4: ... 5.2)

SECRET US MIL BLUM PERSA

SPURET

UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP (U)	1
THE PROBLEM	2
1. (b) To provide JCS views on the United States-China	3
security relationship and to propose to the Secretary of	1
Defense that an interagency study be initiated to review	5
that relationship.	6
FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM	7
2. (U) On 18 December 1978, President Carter announced	9
that, as of 1 January 1979, the United States would recognize	9
the PRC as the sole legitimate government of China. The	10
President indicated at that time that normalization was not	11
motivated by the idea of an alignment against any third	12
country and that improved relations did not indicate a	13
strategic alliance.	14
3. (U) In January 1980, the Secretary of Defense visited	<u>15</u>
China. This visit served to highlight the security aspects	16
of the new United States-China relationship. The visit also	17
laid the basis for the sale of military-related equipment	18
and technology, as well as increased defense-related contacts	19
and exchanges.	20
4. (U) In May 1980, the PRC Vice Premier visited the United	<u>21</u>
States. This visit gave substance to the framework established	22
by the Secretary of Defense and solidified the first steps	<u>23</u>
in the new security relationship between China and the	<u>24</u>
United States.	<u>25</u>
5. (#) On 21 July 1980, the Chief of Staff, US Army, proposed*	26
that the Secretary of Defense recommend an interagency	<u>27</u>
review of United States-PRC security relations in light of	<u>28</u>
the increasing number of issues being generated by expanded	<u>29</u>
contacts with the Chinese.	<u>30</u>

Attachment to JCS 2118/292

SECRET

6. 161 On 27 August 1980, the Joint Chiefs of Staff requested*	1
that the Director, Joint Staft, initiate, in collaboration	2
with the Services, a broad in-house study to address the	3
full implications of the United States-China relationship.	4
DISCUSSION	<u>5</u>
7. (U) For discussion, see Enclosure A.	<u>6</u>
COECLUSIONS	<u>7</u>
B. (U) The assessment and conclusions in Enclosure A should	8
be adopted as JCS views on the military implications of	9
tne United States-China security relationship.	1 <u>0</u>
9. (U) The complex interrelationship between civilian and	11
military aspects of the security relationship requires a	12
searching interagency review.	13
10. (U) Enclosure A should be provided to the Secretary	14
of Defense for use in the interagency review.	15
RECOMMENDATIONS	16
11. (U) It is recommended that:	17
a. (U) Enclosure A be approved.	18
D. (U) The memorandum in Enclosure B, with its Appendix	19
and Enclosure A (less its Annex), reflecting the above	20
conclusions, be sent to the Secretary of Defense.	21
c. (U) Copies of the memorandum in Enclosure B NOT be	22
furnished to other agencies except as authorized under	23
JCS MOP 39.	24
d. (U) Copies of this paper NOT be sent to commanders	25
of unified or specified commands except as authorized	26
under JCS MOP 39.	<u>27</u>
e. (U) Copies of this paper NOT be sent to HS	28
liaison officers assigned to NATO activities except	29
as authorized under JCS MOP 39.	30
ction Otficer: COL J. A. Smith, USA Northeast Asia Branch, J-5 Ext 72400/54902	<u>31</u>

Finciosure to JCS 2118/292-1

SHERET NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

ENCLOSURE A

JCS ASSESSMENT OF THE UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP (U)

(58 pages)

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, J-5 DECLASSIFY ON 17 APRIL 1987

SPERET

JCS ASSESSMENT OF THE UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP (U)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
A.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
	1. Purpose	1
	2. Overview	1
	3. Summary of Major Conclusions	5
	4. Recommendations	7
ย.	THE UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP	8
	1. Introduction	В
	2. General Considerations	16
	3. Spectrum of United States-China Relations	18
	4. Conclusions	41
	5. Recommendations	43
NN	EX POSSIBLE AREAS OF UNITED STATES-PRC SECURITY COOPERATION	A-1

1

SCORET

JCS ASSESSMENT OF THE UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP (U)

<u>25</u>

A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (U)

1. (2) Purpose. The purpose of this study in to provide a JCS assessment of the United States-China security relationship for use in an interagency review.

2. (U) Overview

a. (U) Introduction

- (1) (5) Sino-Soviet estrangement has provided opportunities for China and the United States to consider a security relationship that would serve the interests of both. Common concern for the Soviet Union's military expansion stimulated the relationship and led to the normalization of diplomatic relations.

 Subsequently, the United States-China security relation—ship has assumed both global and regional significance and has expanded to include a number of converging and parallel interests. The implications of United States-China relationships extend to other Asian nations, whose views must also be considered as the pace and direction of the future US security relationship with Beijing is determined.
- (2) (2) The United States regards China as an increasingly important nation—one that contributes to the global balance, primarily by occupying Soviet forces along its border, and to Asian stability, by dampening North Korean aggressiveness and inhibiting Vietnamese adventurism. By continued development of its relation—ship with China, the United States hopes to increase Soviet concern about the USSR's Asian flank and to channel China into activities that reinforce political, economic, and military stability in the Western Pacific.

SPERET

The United States also seeks to profit from China's capabilities to counter Soviet influence wherever possible in the Third World.

- (3) (A) China views itself essentially as a regional power, in the military sense, with distinct military and economic weaknesses vis-u-vis the Soviet Union. China seeks in its security relationship with the United States the prescription of its territorial integrity from Soviet aggression and the acquisition of modern technology to assist in development of its economy and industrial production base. Beijing, in pursuing its interests, supports a strong NATO, opposes expansion of Soviet influence in Southwest Asia and the Persian Gulf, seeks to maintain stability on the Korean peninsula, and operates to contain Vietnamese adventurism in Southeast Asia.
- (4) (6) Nonetheless, despite the common Soviet threat and frequently converging mutual interests, both the United States and China have independent national objectives that sometimes conflict. These divergent interests, limited Chinese resource capabilities, and the unpredictability of China's leadership will circumscribe the potential of a more formal security relationship. Moreover, until it is resolved, the issue of Taiwan likely will constrain the relationship.
- b. (U) Spectrum of United States-China Relations
 - (1) (1) The spectrum of possible security relations is theoretically very broad, ranging from the kind that existed before diplomatic normalization to extremely close relations. The implications for future US policy are outlined below in a brief assessment of

SECULT

three possible courses of action: Continuation	1
and/or gradual expansion of the current United States-	2
China security relationship; a retrenched or reduced	3
security relationship; and a significantly enhanced	4
United States-China relationship.	<u>5</u>
(2) (2) Continuation or Slight Expansion of Current	<u>6</u>
United States-China Security Relations. Continuation	7
or slight expansion, over time, of the current relation-	8
ship would dim to encourage a common approach to	9
mutual security matters and contribute to deterrence	<u>10</u>
of Soviet military expansionism in Asia, with minimum	11
negative impact on other Asian nations. Such relations	12
would reinforce Beijing's capability and willingness	13
to act independently of Soviet influence and would	14
dampen prospects for development of any Sino-Soviet	<u>15</u>
relationship inimical to US interests. For the United	16
States, continuation or slight expansion of its	17
security relations with China offers the minimum	18
risks, given China's dumestic and foreign policy	19
uncertainties. It also provides room for expansion	20
and US security policy (lexibility. As a result of a	<u>21</u>
gradually expanded relationship, major near-term	22
improvements in China's military capabilities are	23
unlikely. Nevertheless, late in this decade, its	24
defensive capabilities and credibility as a deterrent	25
o the USSR could improve.	26

SPUNCT

(3) (Retrenched Security Relations. A retrenchment	1
of the existing United States-China security relation-	2
ship would be viewed as a fundamental change in US	3
policy that would reduce China's perceived effectiveness	4
as a counterweight to the USSR and decrease the	<u>5</u>
apprehension of the Soviets concerning a United	<u>6</u>
States-China axis against them. China's leadership	7
would likely limit its broad support for US policy and	8
actions and would be wary of what such a policy	9
implied for United States-Soviet relations. A	10
hardening of the US technology transfer policy would	11
threaten China's modernization program and dim consider-	12
ably its prospects for internal economic development.	13
A retrenchment in US security relations with China	14
would cause some unease and concern among friendly	<u>15</u>
Asian nations largely because of China's anticipated	16
negative response to such a change. Most Asian	17
nations, however, would view favorably the prospect of	18
a China with continued limited military and economic	<u>19</u>
capabilities. Beijing's attitude toward Taiwan and	20
its friends would likely take on a more rigid, less	21
flexible approach.	22
(4) (4) Significantly Enhanced Security Relations.	23
A highly active, significantly enhanced United States-	24
China security relationship would seriously complicate	<u>25</u>
Soviet military planning in Asia. Moscow would view a	<u>26</u>
greatly expanded relationship as a danger to its	27
security, seeing much closer links as part of a	28
US-backed global system directed against the USSR.	<u>29</u>
China would view favorably the enhanced relationship	<u>30</u>

SECRET

	as improving its security and facilitating development	1
	of its technological and industrial infrastructure.	2
	Beijing's willingness to oppose Soviet military	3
	expansionism would be reinforced, while the prospects	4
	for Sino-Soviet rapprochement would be further reduced.	<u>5</u>
	(5) (#) Any US assistance program aimed at significantly	<u>6</u>
	improving China's military capabilities, however,	7
	would remain limited by Berjing's inability to absorb	<u>8</u>
	large amounts of modern technology. Even with major	9
	transfers of US technology and materiel, China's	1 <u>0</u>
	military forces would remain severely outclassed by	11
	Soviet torces through the end of the decade. In	12
	addition, the near-term military threat to Taiwan	13
	likely would not increase substantially. A significant	14
	expansion of the present United States-China security	<u>15</u>
	relationship would be very disturbing to friendly	16
	Asian nations, particularly Japan. Most do not trust	17
	China and believe Beijing would sooner or later	<u> } B</u>
	attempt to achieve at least a degree of hegemony in	19
	the region.	20
١.	(U) Summary of Major Conclusions	21
	a. (F) The current United States-China relationship has	22
	thus far benefited both countries. It provides a basis	<u>23</u>
	for turther improvement. US ties with China have compounded	24
	uncertainty for the Soviet Union, increased its concern	<u>25</u>
	for's two-front war, and complicated its planning	26
	efforts. Since it is likely that China's ability to	<u>27</u>
	influence global affairs will continue to be limited, the	28
	Holled States must not establish unrealistic objectives	29

SECKET

regarding China and the role it can or should play in	1
	2
world events. The United States should, instead, build a	3
strategy that improves current and projected Chinese	
military capabilities, attempts to focus those capabilities	4
toward mutually advantageous goals, and prevents China	<u>5</u>
from becoming an impediment to any future United States-	<u>6</u>
Soviet relationship the United States may seek to develop.	7
b. (\$) A stable, independent, and friendly China could	8
serve US interests by, among other things, countering	9
Soviet expansionism and influence in Asia and elsewhere;	10
supporting stability in Asia, especially on the Korean	11
poninsula and in the China Sea; strengthening PRC defenses	12
against the Soviet Union; and expanding dialog on a	<u>13</u>
number of other areas of common interest. To accomplish	14
this, the United States should proceed cautiously and	<u>15</u>
discretely, pacing the relationship according to the	16
overall state of United States-China relations, the views	<u>17</u>
of US allies and friends, China's willingness and ability	18
to participate, US domestic implications, and changes in	19
the world situation.	20
c. (\$) The United States should continue carefully to	21
explore what steps are necessary to assist China in	22
laying the foundation for building and maintaining a	23
military force that is sufficient for its defense needs	24
relative to the Soviet Union and that supports US	25
strategic objectives. Any steps must include due	26
•	27
consideration of their potential contribution to the	28
development of Chinese strategic weapons and power	
projection capabilities.	29

SPOKET

 \mathbf{r}

	1
d. (#) The United States should conduct affairs with	
Taiwan in a manner that considers PRC sensitivities and	2
reflects both the strategic importance of China and the	7
continuing value of Taiwan to the United States. The	4
United States should seek to promote a peaceful resolution	5
of the Taiwan issue, and in doing so demonstrate to Taiwan	6
and the PMC that it is in their mutual interest to cooperate	2
with the United States and its allies both in deterring	8
Soviet expansion in Asia and defending against the Soviets	9
in wartime.	10
e. (8) Military dialog with China should be broadened to	11
include a wider range of matters of mutual interest and	12
at lower levels in the Defense Establishment. This	13
expanded dialog could include a selective program of	14
military observer exchanges, professional discussions,	15
and student exchanges at certain military schools.	16
f. (6) All aspects of the United States-China relationship	17
should be fully reviewed and evaluated. Such an evaluation	18
could best be accomplished through the interagency process.	19
(U) Recommendations	20
a. 6 The United States should proceed cautiously and	<u>21</u>
discretely in continuing to develop a security relationship	22
with China, with the objective of improving Chinese	<u>23</u>
military capabilities in accordance with mutually	24
advantageous goals.	<u>25</u>
b. (3). The United States should encourage progress	<u>26</u>
toward a stable, secure China that can contribute to	27
regional stability and mutual security objectives.	<u>2 B</u>
c. (8) An interagency review of the United States-China	29
security relationship should be conducted as a matter of	<u>30</u>
priority.	31

5.

SPINIT

B. THE UNITED STATES-CHINA SECURITY RELATIONSHIP (U)

LO

<u>17</u>

<u>28</u>

1. (U) Introduction

a. (A) The purpose of this study is to provide a JCS assessment of the United States-China security relationship for use in an interspency review.

b. (U) Global Aspects

- (1) (3) Development of the United States-China security relationship must be considered in the context of its global and regional significance and implications. Foremost is the increasing military threat that the Soviet Union constitutes to the United States and the Pree World. Either unilaterally or in concert with Soviet Bloc countries, the USSR has the military capability to seriously threaten the physical security of the United States and its allies.
- (2) (2) Soviet military expansion in several areas of the world during the past decade has provided a clearer focus for US concern and stimulated efforts to deal more effectively with this growing threat. In addition to focusing attention on improving its own warfighting capability, the United States has been encouraging greater emphasis on collective security.

 (3) (2) Recent development of the United States-China
- (3) (3) Recent development of the United States-China relationship has, for the most part, been stimulated by a mutual concern for the Soviet Union's military expansion and use of surrogates throughout the world. This concern has caused China and the United States to look to each other to halt advances in, and to eventually reduce, Soviet influence.

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, J-5 DECLASSIFY ON 17 APRIL 1987 SERRET

(4) (6) The United States and the People's Republic of 1 China (PRC) have several other parallel or converging 2 interests. China has moved to dampen North Korean 3 aggressiveness and inhibit Vietnamese adventurism, 4 emphasized more normal state-to-state relationships <u>5</u> with its neighbors and other Third World countries, 6 refrained from creating tensions with Taiwan, reduced 2 support to Communist insurgencies in Southeast Asia, 8 and moderated destabilizing activities among overseas 9 Chinese communities. LO (5) (\$) From a global perspective, China operates <u>11</u> from a position of distinct inferiority to the 12 Soviet Union but pursues a strategy that, while 13 seeking to keep the USSR off balance, gains time 14 to pursue civil and military modernization programs. 15 Chinese military deployments and force structure, 16 both conventional and strategic, focus on deterring 17 Soviet or Soviet-backed Vietnamese expansionism. 18 Concurrently, China is encouraging a larger and more 19 forceful US global role to deter and counter the 20 USSk. 21 (6) (#) However, the United States-China relationship 22 and the policies that implement that relationship 23 should not be viewed only vis-a-vis the Soviet military 24 threat. The relationship must be based on achieving 25 broad US and Chinese national interests, as well as 26 those of other nations with which the United States 27 shares common aspirations. 28 (7) (8) Despite China's increasingly cooperative <u>29</u> stance in world affairs, the United States, as it 30

develops and refines its relationship with China, must

31

SERRET

the results of new links do not create instability nor foster a sense of abandonment. Each proposed initiative needs to be examined with respect to its implications and possible damaging effects, especially among China's neighbors. Many Asian nations may increasingly view a strong modernized China as a greater threat than the Soviet Union.

<u>5</u>

<u>17</u>

<u>21</u>

<u>23</u>

<u>29</u>

c. (U) Background

- (1) (Sino-Sovict estrangement provided opportunities for the United States to consider normalizing relations with China and the potential benefits that could accrue with such a move. As the split between Moscow and Beijing deepened (with armed border incidents occurring in 1969), the United States and China saw possibilities in a Sino-United States relationship that could provide a counterpoise against Soviet expansionism.
- (2) (U) During the 1970s, the US approach to relations with China and the Soviet Union was transformed from a framework based on equilibrium or equidistance to one providing for closer alignment with China. By the end of the decade, the United States and PRC had completed diplomatic normalization and initiated a number of contacts and exchanges adding substance to the relationship.
- (3) (3) China's industrial modernization and economic progress, in large measure, depend on domestic stability, the deflection of a significant external threat, absorption of foreign technical and economic

SECULT

assistance, and broadened trade relationships. The Soviet invasion of Alghanistan, together with Soviet efforts to exacerbate tensions in Southwest Asia and the Middle East, are examples of common areas of concern between the United States and China. (4) (U) US View of the United States-China Relationship (a) (U) General 8 1. (#) The United States seeks to defend itself as far from its boundaries as possible and 9 supports political and economic independence of ιo as many nations as possible. In global competi-11 tion with the Soviet Union, the United States 12 seeks as many allies and friends as possible, a 13 policy that stimulates a closer security relation-14 ship with Beijing. 15 2. (g) Since the early 1970s, US and Chinese 16 interests have increasingly converged, largely--17 18 though not exclusively--because of common 19 preceptions of a growing Soviet menace. Thus, the United States seeks to maintain and further 20 21 develop cordial relations with China, but not at 22 the expense of other interests. China should not become an impediment to any future United 23 States-Soviet relationship that the United 24 25 States seeks to develop. 1. (*) The United States views China as contrib-26 27 uting to a number of its interests: Support of 28 NATU, advocacy of stability in Northeast Asia, support of Pakistan, and aid to Afghan resistance 29 elements. China is considered potentially 30 helpful to the United States in its attempts to 31

1

2

3

5

6 7

32

33

SHARIT

areas, such as Southwest Asia.

insure unimpeded access to important resource

SECRET

4. (6) The United States has become increasingly	7
interdependent with a number of important Asian	2
trading partners, especially Japan. The success	3
these partners have achieved enhances United	4
States prosperity and security. Strengthened	<u>5</u>
economic interdependence with Asian states also	<u>6</u>
assures US economic access to both markets and	2
resources. Through good economic relations with	9
the United States, China may be encouraged to	2
become at least partially integrated into the	<u>10</u>
Free World economic system. A close relationship	11
with China also may assist the United States in	12
influencing the pace and character of Chinese	13
economic development. Moreover, economic ties	14
could encourage China's cooperation with its	<u>15</u>
Asian neighbors and the United States in a wider	16
range of mutually beneficial relationships.	17
(b) (U) Current US Political/Economic Objectives	18
1. (*) A secure, friendly, successfully moderniz-	19
ing China that contributes to global balance and	20
stability in Asia in close consultation with the	21
United States.	22
2. (#) Improved bilateral relations, to include	21
expanded economic, political, and cultural ties	24
that reinforce Chinese ties to Organization of	<u>25</u>
Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)	26
countries while insuring maximum US benefit.	27
$\underline{3}$. (#) Chinese participation in international	<u>2 H</u>
forums seeking solutions to common problems.	29

SECULT

(c) (U) Current Global US Defense/Security Objectives

lΟ

<u>25</u>

- 1. (6) Deter attacks against the United States and against vital US interests worldwide, including sources of essential raw materials and associated lines of communication.
- 2. (A) If deterrence fails, fight at whatever level of intensity is necessary to terminate the construct quickly, insuring that the US postwar position is superior to that of any adversary.
- 3. (**) Prevent political and economic coercion of the United States, its allies, and friends by any enemy.

(d) (U) China's Role in Current US Strategy To Support Global Security Objectives*

- 1. (p) Global conflict—the United States will encourage Chinese military initiatives that would, in cooperation with US/allied actions, the down Soviet forces on the Asian landmass, interdict lines of communications to Soviet bases in the Pacific area, and prevent reinforcement of Soviet forces in the Indian Ocean and of the Northern and Baltic Flects. (There is considerable doubt that China could or would exercise this strategy even with massive US assistance.)
- 2. (P) Regional Conflict—the United States will encourage and support Chinese measures to halt aggression by North Korea, Vietnam, or other nations against the United States or its allies.
- j. (#) Peacetime--the United States will, to the extent possible, selectively improve cooperative security measures and military-to-military contacts with China to increase Soviet concerns about its Asian flanks and to inhibit Vietnamose adventurism.

SECULT

^{*} JCSN-270-80, 23 October 1980, "Joint Strategic Planning Document for FY 1983 through FY 1990, Part I (U)"

SIMILIT

(5) (0) Ching's View of the United States-China Relationship

1

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

<u>25</u>

26

27

<u>28</u>

29

30

31

32

33

(a) (U) General

1. (8) China's long-term objective is to become a powerful socialist state, able to defend itself against any potential aggressor. China aims to protect its territorial integrity and to become established as the dominant influence in Asia, while increasing its role in international affairs. Beijing hopes eventually to replace US and Western influence in Asia and to reverse expansion of Soviet influence and limit Japanese, Vietnamese, and Indian influence in the area. China would then encourage the United States to shift its attention to Soviet ambitions in Europe and the Middle East. 2. (2) As a developing nation, China faces complex economic problems. Limited financial assets continue to force difficult economic trade-offs. Having exploited most of the technology obtained in the 1950s and early 1960s from the USSR, China is now turning to the West for technology to increase development of its economy and industrial (including military) production base.

(b) (U) China's Political/Economic Objectives

1. (6) China stresses the formation of a broad united front of the United States, Western Lucope, Japan, and moderate Third World countries against Soviet expansionism. The Chinese view themselves essentially as a regional power, in the military sense, with distinct military and economic weaknesses vis-a-vis the Soviet Union.

STURET

SEWKET

China defines its relationship with the United States as long term and strategic. This leads to China's interest in obtaining US technology and developing a relationship with the US defense establishment. While serious criticism of US policy has moderated, China will not hesitate to criticize US actions deemed contrary to its national goals. The PRC continues to publicly call for the withdrawal of US forces from the Republic of Korea and has not hesitated to criticize US actions relating to Taiwan. 2. (1) The high priority China attaches to modernization gives important weight to economic links and to technology and capital transfers from free market economies. Internationally, China has shown interest in joining the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), in obtaining "most favored nation" status in trading matters, and in creation of regional tree trade areas in China. The PRC expects that the United States will be a prime source for the type of economic intrastructure equipment and technology required to achieve modernization over the next 20 years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

<u>11</u>

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

<u>28</u>

29

<u>30</u>

31

(c) (U) China's Defense/Security Objectives

1. (#) China's most important security objective remains deterrence of Soviet aggression and, should deterrence fail, preservation of its territorial integrity and national interests.

The PRC will take strong action in defense of its territorial claims, including Taiwan.

SECRET

SPURET

It recognizes its military resources are inferior to those of the Soviet Union and encourages the United States and its allies to counter Soviet military activities.

<u>7</u>

<u>u</u>

<u>13</u>

<u>31</u>

- 2. (p) China views containment of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (SRV) influence as necessary to reduce Soviet influence in Southeast Asia. China seeks to prevent any major conflict on the Korean peninsula to minimize the possibility of Sino-United States confrontation and to keep North Korea from turning to the Soviet Union in event of war.
- 3. (#) China, in pursuing its interests, supports a strong NATO to oppose the Soviet Union and its allies; is aiding resistance forces in Afghanistan; opposes the expansion of Soviet influence in Southwest Asia and the Persian Gulf area; and encourages anti-Soviet activities in several other areas of the world.

2. (U) General Considerations

a. (\$\mathbb{g}\$) At present, the United States, unilaterally, is not capable of simultaneously detending Western Europe, Southwest Asia, and Northeast Asia. US strategic requirements demand improved US military capabilities and expanded cooperation among allies, friends, and potential friends. The evolving US relationship with China opens up new opportunities for cooperation that may assist in exploiting Soviet concerns about the USSR's Asian flank and that may serve to help deter Soviet expansion and aggression in the area. The Annex provides an illustrative list of politico-military and equipment-technology actions that may be purposed with the PRC, along with a preliminary judgment of gains and risks of those actions.

SPERET

security relationship, the following factors must be 2 3 considered: (1) (F) China has national interests and objectives, many of which do not converge with nor parallel those 5 of the United States or China's neighbors. Where China views its interests at stake, it will not 7 compromise easily. 8 (_) (#) The political and economic aspects of the 2 relationship are extremely important and carry 1<u>0</u> their own strategic connotation. China's ability 11 to play a significant constructive role in US global 12 strategy rests largely in its ability to help deter 13 Soviet military expansionism. However, that ability 14 is circumscribed to the degree that China is a conti-15 nental power, with a very limited ability to project 16 forces or directly influence global events. 17 (3) (#) The unity and stability of the PRC leadership 18 remains a major unpredictable element. Although 19 Chinese leaders have generally worked together to 20 protect and enhance basic PRC strategic, political, 21 and economic interests, political infighting has 22 disturbed the orderly conduct of foreign affairs. 23 (4) (#) Divergent mutual interests and limited 24 Chinese resources and capabilities will constrain 25 the potential of the relationship. The United States 26

b. (U) In an examination of the United States-China

should recognize those divergencies and limitations

security or defense arrangement.

and factor them into the development of any cooperative

(5) (3) The current Taiwan Situation is a fundamental

problem between China and the United States and will

constrain the relationship until resolved.

21

28

29

30

31

32

SECULE

3. () Spectrum of United States-China Relations. In	
theory, the spectrum of possible security relations between	
the United States and China is very broad, ranging from	
virtually none at all to exceedingly close. To identify and	:
examine the possible activities, events, and implications	:
that could characterize a specific relationship within this	9
broad spectrum, three general courses of action or cases are	:
outlined below. The cases are illustrative in nature and	!
address general situations that could occur in US security	5
relationships with China. They are not designed as discrete	10
options but rather as guidelines that could form the basis	ū
tor recommending a direction in the US national security	12
policy for China.	13
a. (U) Case 1. This case describes a continuation or	14
slight expansion of the current United States-China	15
security relationship.	16
(1) (U) Such a relationship would be characterized	17
by:	10
(a) () Selective transfer of certain technology	19
identified as having both military and/or nonmilitary	<u>20</u>
end-use. It would exclude technology that would	<u>21</u>
contribute to development of nuclear weapons or	<u>22</u>
delivery systems, electronic warfare, intelligence-	<u>23</u>
gathering equipment, or significant power projection	24
capability. The transfer of certain Belected items	<u>25</u>
on the Munitions Control List would also be authorized.	<u>26</u>

* These items are presently limited to nonlethal

military support items. Items requested would be

27

28

sighter

addressed on a case-by-case hasis and subject	1
to review by appropriate US Government agencies for	2
their security implications to the United States	3
and to other nations as well. Emphasis would be on	4
the contribution the item makes to China's economic	<u>5</u>
infrastructure development.	6
(b) (*) Selective, but gradually expanding, contacts	7
between US and Chinese defense officials. These	9
contacts are controlled at the DOD/Ministry of	9
National Defense level and are being pursued	10
generally on a quid pro quo basis. Discussions	<u>u</u>
have occurred on a variety of security-related	12
issues, largely centered on logistic, technological,	13
or training matters. They have excluded, thus	14
far, reference to operational matters. Contacts	15
are expected to broaden to include a wider range of	16
matters of mutual interest and at lower levels in	17
the detense establishment. These contacts could	18
include a selective program of military observer	19
exchanges, professional discussions, and student	20
exchanges at certain military schools.	21
(2) (U) US Interests Affected By This Relationship	22
(a) (U) Political/Economic Interests	2.3
1. (#) The present relationship encourages	24
China to participate in the world community	<u>25</u>
in a reasonable and cooperative manner.	26
China has supported US efforts to enhance	27
Asian political stability and has expanded	28

SECHET

its conventional state-to-state relations	1
with a number of Asian nations. China has	2
attempted to limit Soviet influence in India	3
and has considerably reduced its support to	4
insurgent movements in less developed countries.	5
China has also garnered support to oppose	<u>6</u>
Soviet insurgencies.	7
2. (#) Although the present relationship	8
encourages China's participation in the world's	9
markets, the PHC economy is hampered by lack of	10
foreign exchange and managerial expertise. If	<u>u</u>
these economic problems are solved, China could	12
become an increasingly significant market for	<u>13</u>
the Prec World.	14
(b) (b) Defense/Security Interests. The current	15
relationship encourages a common approach to mutual	16
security matters and contributes to deterrence of	17
Soviet military expansionism in Asia and the	18
Western Pacific. The US strategy in Europe is	19
enhanced by the fact that some 45 Soviet divisions,	20
approximately one-quarter of the ground forces, are	21
deployed along the border with China. Under	<u>22</u>
present circumstances. China is unlikely to seek	<u>23</u>
rapprochement with the Soviet Union. The thrust	24
and pace of current Chinese military programs are	<u>25</u>

not likely to upset the regional balance of power

in East Asia substantially.

26 27. : Livery

(3) (U) <u>Implication</u>

2 (a) (A) The maintenance of US-PRC defense 3 relations, at the current or slightly expanded level, ofters minimal risks, given the uncertainties 4 of China's domestic and foreign policies. Such 5 6 relations would reinforce Beijing's capability and willingness to get independently of Soviet 2 influence and would dampen prospects for develop-<u>B</u> ment of any Sino-Soviet relationship inimical to 9 10 US interests. If movement toward Sino-Soviet 11 rapprochement occurs, a Case 1 relationship 12 would provide flexibility for readjusting 13 security policies toward China. 14 (b) (#) Pursuit of a defense relationship with 15 China within carefully defined limits could help 16 to prevent or dispel exaggerated expectations 17 among Chinese leaders that could be counter-18 productive to United States-China relations over 19 the long run. A slow and carefully measured 20 progression along current policy lines would 21 likely survive, relatively intact, all but the 22 most drastic change in Chinese leadership. 23 (c) (f) The cupability of China to absorb technology 24 and to integrate modern material into its civilian and military systems is seriously limited. The 25 26 success of the United States-China security 27 relationship involving technology transfer will

1

28

29

21

be measured in Beijing by its compatibility with

China's modernization programs. The Chinese are

SELMET

aware of the difficulties and potential waste of Ī prematurely attempting widespread application 2 of advanced technologies; their main interest 3 will be primarily in the longer term payoffs. 4 (d) (d) Major near-term improvements in China's <u>5</u> military capabilities and materiel are unlikely 6 to occur as a direct result of this relationship. <u>7</u> Nevertheless, the credibility of China's defensive <u>a</u> capabilities, primarily Chinese conventional forces, 9 late in the decade will be affected by the degree 10 of access granted by the United States or other 11 Western nations to rivilian and defense-related 12 technologies. The access allowed under the 13 current relationship would permit gradual improve-14 ment in China's defensive capabilities above that 15 which the Chinese would be able to accomplish on 16 their own. Of equal importance would be assistance 17 aimed at improving Chinese military and logistic 18 support concepts. 19 (e) (P) The capability of China to project meaning-20 ful military power beyond its borders would <u>21</u> continue to be constrained for many years. 22 Improvement of PRC military capabilities against 23 Taiwan would be minimal over the short term; 24 beyond 5 years, the potential danger may increase, 25 depending upon the specific US technology transfers 26 to the PRC, as well as the policy approach taken 27 by the United States toward Taiwan and Beijing's 28

29

reaction to it.

SEURET

(f) (f) Despite normalization of US relations	1
with the PHC, Taiwan has remained stable, prosperous,	-
and secure, although the potential for instability	3
does exist. Tensions in the Talwan Strait are at	4
a 25-year low. Talwan will continue to request US	<u>5</u>
arms sales to insure its security and to symbolize	<u>6</u>
	<u> </u>
a US commitment to that security. Continuation of	8
the present security relationship between the	
United States and China is not likely to seriously	9
peopardize Taiwan's situation or status. Continued	10
US arms sales to Taiwan, however, could disrupt	<u>11</u>
the United States-Chinese relationship.	12
(g) (#) While problems exist, Japan has sought	<u>13</u>
to develop a broad network of relationships with	14
Beijing to help create a stable China, strengthen	<u>15</u>
its ties with China, and promote China's opening	<u>16</u>
toward the West. Although Japan has advised US	<u>17</u>
caution from time to time regarding US relations	18
with China, Tokyo's policies are congruent with	<u>19</u>
those of the United States, and continuation of	<u>20</u>
the current United States-China link likely would	<u>21</u>
find approval in Tokyo.	<u>22</u>
(h) (91 Both North and South Korea have exhibited	23
mixed reactions; each has expressed apprehension	<u>24</u>
was the prospect of reduced commitment by its	25

principal supporter. The North may see itself	1
benefiting indirectly from China's acquisition	2
of US technology and from increased contacts	3
with Japan. The ROK sees the relationship as	4
lessening tensions on the penincula and improv-	5
ing ROK economic apportunities with China.	<u>6</u>
(1) (#) Vietnam and Laos oppose any Sino-United	7
States relationship and continue to strengthen	8
ties with the Soviet Union. The Association of	9
Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), by and large,	10
accepts the present security relationship and	<u>11</u>
views it as helpful to Asian stability; the	12
nations generally do not view the current relation-	13
ship as threatening. Several have expressed	14
apprehension, however, as to its longer term	15
implications.	16
(j) (g) In South Asia, India views any improvement	17
in China-United States relations as potentially	18
threatening. It fears a Washington-Beijing-Islamabad	19
axis arrayed against it. India has moved as close	20
to the USSR as it is likely to. However, if the	21
United States-China relationship grows stronger,	22
India will be more likely to improve its security	23
ties with the Soviet Union. Pakistan and most	24
other South Asian nations support a closer United	<u>25</u>
States-China relationship, seeing it as inhibiting	26
India and the USSR'from exerting undue influence	<u>27</u>
over them.	28
(k) (8) In Southwest Asia and the Middle East,	29
reaction to the United States-China security rela-	30
tionship is generally independent of political align-	31
ments with East and West, although some anti-Soviet	32

SPERMIT

countries would encourage the relationship	1
because it inhibits the OSSE. Most countries in	2
Africa and the Americas would be indifferent	3
to a close United States-China relationship,	4
although those at the extremes would either	<u>5</u>
criticize or welcome it.	<u>6</u>
(1) (2) Western Europe and NATO countries have	<u> 7</u>
encouraged United States-China security ties and	<u>8</u>
will likely continue so long as perspective and	9
balance are maintained. The relationship allows	10
for West European consultations on critical	11
matters, and it allows them to benefit as well.	12
Most consider China an important factor in Asian	13
stability. They view Chinese forces arrayed along	14
the Soviet border as helping to deter the USSR in	15
a modest manner, although remaining skeptical, but	16
hopeful, as to their impact in a NATO-Warsaw Pact	17
war.	10
(m) (m) The Soviet Union has developed strategic	19
and conventional mulitary capabilities that enable	20
it to right, and possibly win, a conventional war on	21
the NATO central front and pose a threat to the	22
survivability of at least a portion of the fixed	23
land-based US strategic forces. It has, since the	24
early 1970s, expanded its intluence by developing	25
new relationships with many states, especially in	26
Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. Moscow perceives	<u>27</u>
the Sino-United States relationship as a threatening	28
two-front anti-Soviet alliance and, in conjunction	29

SLOKET

with Japan, as an incipient "Triple Entente."	1
This alliance is viewed as a new attempt to	<u>2</u>
contain the USSR and to provide an additional	3
counterweight against Soviet international	4
aspirations. US support to China is considered by	5
the USSR as leading to eventual buildup of China's	<u>6</u>
military industrial potential, modernization of	2
its Armed Forces, and modification of its defensive	8
strategy. The likely response of Moscow to the	9
current Sino-United States security relationship	1 <u>0</u>
is to consolidate ties with its allies and protector-	· 11
ates in Southeast Asia, Africa, and the Middle	12
East and continue to improve its own military	13
capabilities to insure its position of superiority.	14
(n) (n) The greatest problem for US planners	<u>15</u>
in orchestrating the Case 1 scenario would stem	16
from detining the degree of growth in the security	17
relationship. The pace of the expansion would no	10
doubt affect China's perception of the value of	19
the relationship, and, accordingly, the development	20
of this expansion must provide sufficient flexibility	21
to extract the maximum advantage for US interests.	<u>22</u>
b. (U) Case 2. This case describes a retrenched United	23
States-Chinese security relationship.	24
(1) (U) A retrenched security relationship would	<u>25</u>
be characterized by:	<u>26</u>
*(a) (f) A return to an "evenhanded" US policy	27
vis-a-vis China and the USSR. It would begin	<u>28</u>
with a gradual retrenchment in the transfer of	29
technology, accompanied by return to a strict	<u> 01</u>

SECRET

interpretation of commodity and munitions limit	1
categories for China as a Communist country.	2
Chinese requests for export of military end-	3
use or related items would likely be refused;	4
communications satellite arrangements would be	5
delayed and perhaps canceled. Equipment requested	6
would nonetheless be considered on a case-by-case	7
basis. The United States would not provide China	8
with military arms. The United States would	9
conduct trade with China based on COCOM (Coordinating	10
Committee) regulations and would withdraw support	11
for China's "special status."	12
(b) (2) More formal, less flexible contacts between	13
governments. Contacts among defense officials from	14
both countries would not progress beyond current	15
levels. A decrease in frequency, detail, and	16
importance in contacts would probably occur	17
over time. Visits would likely be pro forma	18
and without significant substance. Meaningful	19
exchanges of information would be even more	20
imited and restricted to only very selected	21
ndividuals.	22
c) (${m p}^{m q}$ Increased demands that Japan significantly	2.1
mprove its detense capability and provide increased	24
upport to other allies in the Pacific area. The	25
nited States would encourage Japan's assumption of	<u>26</u> .
ore responsibility for Asian security and improved	<u>27</u>
hility to restrict Source moves in the region	28

SEMMET

(2) (U) US interests Affected By This Relationship	1
(a) (U) Political/Economic Interests	2
1. (#) A more distant relationship with China	3
could reduce Soviet apprehensions concerning a	4
United States-China axis against the USSR.	<u>5</u>
Moscow would be less likely to take positive	<u>6</u>
action to break the United States-China linkage.	2
A reduction in the United States-China relation-	8
ship would be looked upon as a fundamental	9
change that would adversely affect US flexibility	<u>10</u>
vis-a-vis China and likely cause apprehension	11
among Asian nations.	12
2. (9) Loss of technology infusion from the	13
United States and/or the West would inhibit	14
present Chinese plans for internal economic	15
development and discourage participation by	16
China in Asian Free World markets. Political	17
and economic access to China would decrease, as	18
would US potential to influence political or	19
economic matters.	20
(b) (U) Defense/Security Interests	<u>21</u>
1. 🕼 Curtailing development of United States-	22
Chinese security relations would reduce the	21
perception of China's effectiveness as a counter-	24
weight to the Soviet Union. It would likely	25
slow also the development of any Chinese strategic	26
military capability against the United States	27
but would have little effect on the threat to	<u>2 B</u>
China's land-linked neighbors.	29
2. (#) US Asian allies would look with some	<u>30</u>
relief at a continuation of China's limited	31
power-projection capability but would also	32
be apprehensive of a China unfettered by US	33

SECULT

SECRET

influence. Prospects for cooperation between 1 the United States and China concerning congruent 2 security interests, such as in Pakistan, 3 Afghanistan, and elsewhere, would likely 4 be reduced. 5 (3) (U) Implications 6 (a) (a) A US retrenchment in China policy would 2 increase the chance of Beijing's normalizing its 8 relations with the Soviet Union. However, a 9 major rapprochement, resulting in cordial Sino-10 Soviet relations, would remain unlikely; funda-Ų1 mental differences will remain stumbling blocks. 12 However, some compromises involving mutual influence <u>13</u> in Asia and in border issues could occur. The 14 inability to obtain US technology could, if 15 accompanied by similar readjustments by other major 16 Western nations, lead Beijing to turn reluctantly 17 to Moscow for technological assistance. 18 (b) (6) Reduction in the current security relationship 19 would contuse China's leadership and could become a 20 major point of internal dissension. The Chinese -1 might seek to prevent a rupture in political 2.**2** relations with the United States that would lead to 23 resumption of past hostilities. On the other hand, 24 the Chinese likely would limit their broad support 25 for US policy and actions. Chinese leaders would 26 be particularly wary of what such a policy implied 27 for United States-Soviet relations. 29 (c) (d) A hardening of US policy toward technology 29 transfer to China would pose a potentially serious 30

31

32

particularly if accompanied by similar readjustments

threat to Beijing's modernization programs,

SPOKET

by other major Western nations. Chinese reaction	1
would be very negative in any case. Prospects for	2
China's internal development would dim considerably.	3
(d) 🎾 There would be no immediate impact on	4
Chinese military capabilities, but qualitative	<u>5</u>
improvements would be seriously constrained.	<u>6</u>
China's conventional military forces would become	2
increasingly inferior relative to the Soviet Union	8
and would thus make the PRC more vulnerable to	9
Soviet preusure. The ability of China to project	10
military force beyond its borders would remain	11
severely limited.	12
(e) (s) The Taiwan issue could take on a new	13
significance. The PRC, anticipating a US	14
shift in policy, likely would assume a more rigid	15
posture with Taiwan and with the island's	16
political and economic friends. Taiwan's	17
leadership would we]come such a retrenchment,	18
but the island would still face similar inter-	19
national problems.	20
(f) (F) A retrenchment in US policy would cause	21
unease and concern in Japan. Tokyo considers that	22
it is in Japan's best interests, and those of the	23
United States, that China be drawn closer to the	24
industrialized democracies by encouraging China's	<u>25</u>
pragmatic course and supporting Chinese	26
modernization. To do otherwise, the Japanese fear,	27
could risk reversal of China's current moderate	28
political and economic orientation and give impetua	29
to possible Chinese accommodation and reconciliation	30
with the Soviet Union.	31

SELET

(g) 💋 On the Korean peninsula, the North	1
would likely favor US retrenchment with China	2
and view events as improving prospects	3
for continued Chinese support for the North's	4
reunification guals. The ROK would look upon	5
the move as enhancing the US commitment to	<u>6</u>
its defense but would feel more threatened	2
by a North Korea lesp restrained by China.	<u>8</u>
(h) (P) Communist Indochina would favor retrench-	9
ment in United States-China relations, seeing it	10
as strengthening the Soviet position in the	11
world. ASEAN states would be less concerned	12
over the long-term PRC ability to seek hegemony in	<u>13</u>
the area, but fears of renewed PRC support for	14
local insurgencies would increase. Thailand,	15
especially, would view the threat as increasingly	16
dangerous.	17
(i) 🌇 In South Asia, the deterioration in	18
United States-China relations would likely not	19
serve to loosen Indian-USSR ties. India would feel	20
more confident about its position in the region:	21
Pakistan and most others on the subcontinent, much	22
less.	<u>23</u>
(j) () Middle Eastern and Southwest Asian countries	24
would view the evence independently of East-West	25
competition and would generally pursue their own	26
interests. Reaction in Africa and the Americas	27
would probably be very similar. Some might see	28
opportunities in moving closer to the United	29
States.	<u>30</u>

(k) (99) West European and NATO nations could	7
assess the situation as another confusing signal	2
and see the directional change as another example	3
of US wavering. They might also see such action as	4
a US move toward detente with the USSR at the	5
expense of both Europe and China. On the economic	6
side, Europe would be inclined to take over the	7
previous US role, but could find the Chinese	8
more difficult to deal with than earlier.	9
(1) (#) The Soviet Union would view its strategy	10
VIS-a-VIS Its two major antagonists as correct and	11
continue to pursue present basic policies. Some	12
compromises with China might occur, but none that	13
would likely alter the objectives and thrust of	14
Soviet activities. The USSR could be more sanguine	15
about its eastern flank, an attitude that could	16
lead to reduction of Soviet forces along the	17
Chinese border. It would likely view its prospects	18
in the Pacific as improving. Confidence of the	19
Soviet Union in its performance throughout the	20
world would likely increase and with it some	21
corresponding expansion of its influence, unless	22
the United States moved to counter it.	21
	24
c. (U) Case 3. This case describes a significantly	212
enhanced United States-Chinese security relationship.	20
(1) (A significantly enhanced security relationship	
could be characterized by close cooperation in which	27

The United States would seek to enable the Chinese to adequately defend themselves sooner against the Soviet conventional threat. China's access to technology and items of equipment contained in the munitions and commodity control lists would be significantly expanded to include offensive weapons that serve mutual interests. The United States would not categorically deny assistance or access to items that could improve China's power projection capabilities.

- (a) (3) High- and mid-level People's Liberation Army (PLA) and US military exchanges would occur with increasing frequency and lead to regular joint consultations concerning a wide variety of logistic, training, intelligence, and operational matters. Chinese military personnel would attend US military schools, including participation in high-level studies such as the Army War College Senior Fellows Program.
- (b) (3) Military personnel would be assigned to the US Embassy in Beijing to oversee military equipment transfers and perform liaison duties. Formal agreements concerning security matters such as military aircraft landing, ship visits, etc., would be encouraged and implemented. Selective combined planning would take place.

(c) (p) Active, coordinated, and integrated	3
civil/military efforts to lay a basis for a	2
modern Chinese defense industry would be formulated.	3
Pormal cooperation against Soviet and Soviet	4
surrogate-backed activities and efforts to involve	5
China in multilateral security arrangements in	6
Asia would be undertaken.	2
(2) (U) US interests Affected By This Relationship	8
(a) (U) Political/Economic Interests	2
1. (9) A much closer relationship could reduce	10
the threat to US interests in Asia and stimulate	11
cooperation between China and the United States,	12
its allies, and its friends in the region. It	13
could prompt the USSR to become more accommodating	14
with the United States on political and economic	15
issues. US flexibility, however, would be	16
constrained by its close identification with PRC	17
policies vis-a-vis Vietnam, India, and China's	18
irredentist claims.	19
2. (%) A much closer relationship with the	20
United States would likely enhance the	<u>21</u>
influence of China with, and respect from, its	22
neighbors. Such a relationship could provide	23
the framework for continued cooperation and	24
consultation on a variety of foreign policy and	25

SKARET

balance-of-power issues. China would likely	7
become more fully integrated into the region's	2
economic markets, providing expanded trade	ĩ
opportunities for the United States, Japan, and	4
the ASEAN countries. Although China's economic	5
problems might persist, longer-term Chinese	6
economic pulicies would likely become more	2
compatible with those of the West and would	8
reinforce other positive aspects of the closer	2
relationship.	10
(b) (U) Defense/Security Interests	11
1. () The primary long-term interest served	12
through close, active cooperation with the PRC	13
would be greater movement toward a balance to	14
the Soviet global threat. It could decrease the	15
direct threat opposite NATO Europe. The NATO-	16
Warsaw Pact balance, however, should be independent	17
of reliance on China. Enhanced cooperation	18
would likely gain for the United States greater	19
insights into Chinese military strategy and	20
could create the potential to influence Chinese	21
decisionmaking. Chinese focus on more conventional	22
modes of international behavior would further	23
deter support for "revolutionary activities"	24
throughout the world.	<u>25</u>
2. (3) A much closer relationship could cause	<u>26</u>
North Korea to realign staulf more with the	<u>27</u>
Soviet Union, thus decreasing China's ability to	28
control activities on the Korean peninsula. The	<u>29</u>
relationship would seriously complicate Soviet	.10

military planning in Asia. The Soviets would be much less likely to consider redeployment of 2 forces from the Chinese border toward NATO. 3 They could, however, move to enhance or reinforce 4 their own capabilities and those of Vietnam in 5 Southeast Asia to counter the relationship and 6 expected Chinese military improvements. Even 2 under these circumstances, in the event of a 8 2 major US-USSR confrontation, China would not likely grant US access to PRC facilities <u>10</u> 11 unless beijing itself was already actively involved in the military conflict or perceived 12 13 such involvement to be imminent. 14 (3) (U) Implications (a) (b) A significant expansion of US-PRC defense 15 relations would reinforce PRC willingness to 16 17 oppose Soviet expansion, further lessening pros-18 pects (or eventual Sino-Soviet rapprochement. 19 Beijing would, however, exercise restraint in 20 pursuing such ties, mindful of the danger of 21 antagonizing Moscow too much and precipitating 22 a rash Soviet response. 23 (b) (g) Chinese leaders would for the most part 24 welcome expanded ties, seeing in them the opportunity to enhance the security of China and to facilitate <u>25</u> 26 development of its technological and industrial 27 infrastructure. Depending upon the the nature and pace of expansion, there would be danger of exagger-28

29

ated expectations by some Chinese leaders, with a

SPERCT

possible backlash detrimental to United States-Chinese	1
relations over the long run. Similarly, concern by	2
conservative civilian and military groups could	3
exacerbate internal Chinese disputes, leading to	4
doubts as to the proper extent of United States-	<u>5</u>
Chinese ties and of the Western orientation of	<u>6</u>
China's modernization programs.	2
(c) (f) China's capability to absorb modern	<u>B</u>
technology will remain limited for the next few	9
years, imposing limits to significant external	10
assistance programs simed at improving Chinese	11
military capabilities. This absorptive capability	12
is likely to improve later in the decade.	<u>13</u>
(d) (p) Expanded US defense ties would facilitate	14
modernization of Chinese military forces over the	<u>15</u>
long run. However, major near-term improvement,	<u>16</u>
possible with large-scale infusion of materiel and	<u>17</u>
technology, would likely be beyond Chinese, and	18
perhaps US, capability to support. Even with major	19
transfers of US or Western technology and material,	20
Beijing's Armed Forces would remain severely	<u>21</u>
outclassed by Soviet forces until at least the end	<u>22</u>
of the decade. Their potential for successfully	<u>23</u>
attacking Soviet forces across the border would	24
remain marginal at best. China's capability for	<u>25</u>
projecting military force, while still quite	26
limited, could be significantly improved in the	27
long term.	<u>2 </u>

37

SHART

(e) (P) The near-term PRC military threat to	1
Taiwan would likely change little. Late in	2
the decade, improved Chinese air and naval	3
capabilities would potentially increase the	4
danger to Taiwan. Taiwan would view events	<u>s</u>
with increasing alarm and would intensify its	6
efforts to find triends and allies. If it saw	2
events moving rapidly against it, Taiwan might	<u>B</u>
attempt to peacefully settle its problems with the	9
PRC. Provision of weapons to the PRC could lead to	10
greater flexibility in providing military arms to	11
Taiwan, within limits tolerable to Beijing.	12
(f) (f) A significant expansion of present US	<u>13</u>
policy to permit sales of weapon mystems to	14
China would be very disturbing to Japan. Tokyo is	<u>15</u>
not sanguine at the prospect of weapons sales to	16
China by the West, including the United States,	17
although some Japanese may believe it to be	18
nevitable. Japanese leaders are not inclined	19
toward a trusting view of Beijing. They are aware	20
of the swings that have characterized Chinese	21
iomestic and foreign policies and would not be	22
entirely confident that, in a decade or so, the	23
successors to the present Chinese leadership would	24
ot adopt aggressive regional policies or a posture	<u>25</u>
f outright hostility toward Japan itself.	26

SUCKLIT

(g) (g) North Korea would be concerned that	1
China would reduce its support for Pyongyang,	2
increasing the likelihood of acceptance of a	3
two-Koreas policy. The North would attempt	4
to optimize its benefits from China's new technology	5
but would likely look more to the Soviet Union to	<u>6</u>
counter its "wavering" Chinese neighbor. Seoul	7
would initially be very apprehensive as to the	8
North's benefiting technologically, but could see	9
some longer term utility if China became less	ſο
supportive of the North and more willing to deal	11
with the South.	12
(h) (\$) Vietnam and Laos would condemn any enhance-	13
ment of the United States-China security relationship,	14
would move toward the USSR, and would reluctantly	15
permit additional Soviet access to basing in the	16
area. ASEAN countries would see short-term benefits	17
to containment of Vietnamese expansionism but would	18
have longer term concern over the PRC achieving	19
regional hegemony.	20
(1) (9) In South Asia, significant enhancement of	21
the United States-China relationship would lead	22
India reluctantly to move more closely toward	23
Moscow to offset expected increases in Chinese	24
influence. Others would privately be encouraged,	<u>25</u>
looking for closer United States-China ties to	<u> 26</u>
inhibit Indian dominance over them.	27

(j) (g) Reaction in the Hiddle East/Southwest	1
Asian region would be independent of East-West	2
lines, with each country pursuing its own interests.	3
Reaction in Africa and the Americas would likely be	4
similar, but with less political movement.	<u>5</u>
(k) (s) Depending on how fast and how far the	<u>6</u>
relationship developed, West European and NATO	7
nations would generally approve of the direction of	8
the relationship and see it as contributing to a	9
more favorable balance of world power. They would	10
be disturbed, however, that the pace and intensity	11
might reduce US attention and resources devoted to	12
European interests, particularly those concerning	13
oil matters. They would not want a United States-	14
China relationship to threaten European detente	<u>15</u>
with the Sovietsa policy accorded high priority	16
by most Western European nations. They would fear	17
that the world's free market economies would be	18
adversely affected by the diversion of large	19
economic assets to China, and the dangers of rapid	20
adjustment to the balance of power over which they	<u>21</u>
may have little control. They would not be sanguine	22
in the face of a possibly hegemonic China operating	23
in a vital area of the world. Consultations with	<u>24</u>
these nations would be absolutely essential.	<u>25</u>
(1) (M) Moscow would likely view a close Sino-	26
United States security relationship as transcending	27
regional matters and as increasing significantly	<u>2 8</u>
the danger to its own security. It would likely	<u>29</u>
view the relationship as spearheading the emergence	<u> 30</u>

	of a US-backed global system, including China,	1
	Japan, and NATO countries, directed against the	2
	USSR. Faced with such a situation, Soviet planners	3
	would reckamine their military capabilities and	4
	consider continued improvement to redress the	<u>5</u>
	perceived new imbalance. They might also attempt	<u>6</u>
	to reach an accommodation with one or more of the	2
	participants.	8
	(m) (m) In such an accommodation, decoupling	9
	Western Europe would likely be viewed by the	10
	Soviet Union as the easiest course of action;	\overline{n}
	secondly, decoupling Japan; then, depending	12
	on the state of relations, either China or the	13
	United States. The Soviets would probably see the	14
	United States as the country more inclined to	15
	buryain. On the other hand, assuming the USSR	16
	views its own political economic situation as	17
	sufficiently strong, it could attempt to escalate	18
	the situation and challenge the forces against it	19
	with renewed military strength.	20
4.	(U) Conclusions	21
	a. (97 The current United States-China relationship has	22
	thus far benefited both countries. It provides a basis	<u>23</u>
	for further improvement. US ties with China have compounded	24
	uncertainty for the Soviet Union, increased its concern	<u>25</u>
	for a two-front war, and complicated its planning efforts.	26
	Since it is likely that China's ability to influence	27
	global affairs will continue to be limited, the United	28
	States must not establish unrealistic objectives regarding	29

SELKET

China and the role it can or should play in world	1
events. The United States should, instead, build a	2
strategy that improves current and projected Chinese	3
military capabilities, attempts to focus those capabilities	4
toward mutually advantageous goals, and prevents China	5
from becoming an impediment to any future US-Soviet	<u>6</u>
relationship the United States may seek to develop.	2
b. (A stable, independent, and friendly China could	8
serve US interests by, among other things, countering	9
Soviet expansionism and influence in Asia and elsewhere;	10
supporting stability in Asia, especially on the Korean	11
peninsula and in the China Sea; strengthening PRC defenses	12
against the Soviet Union; and expanding dialog on a	13
number of other areas of common interest. To accomplish	14
thim, the United States should proceed cautiously	15
and discretely, pacing the relationship according to	16
the overall state of United States-China relations,	17
the views of US allies and friends, China's willingness	18
and ability to participate, US domestic implications,	19
and changes in the world situation.	20
:. () The United States should continue to carefully	21
xplore what steps are necessary to assist China in laying	22
he foundation for building and maintaining a military	23
orce sufficient for its defense needs relative to the	24
oviet Union and that supports US strategic objectives.	25
ny steps must include due consideration of their poten-	26
ial contribution to the development of Chinese strategic	27
eapons and power-projection capabilities.	28

: Judicr

d. Un The United States should conduct affairs with	1
Taiwan in a manner that considers PRC sensitivities and	2
reflects both the strategic importance of China and the	3
continuing value of Taiwan to the United States. The	4
United States should seek to promote a peaceful resolution	<u>5</u>
of the Taiwan issue, and in doing so demonstrate to Taiwan	<u>6</u>
and the PRC that it is in their mutual interest to cooperate	2
with the United States and its allies both in deterring	8
Soviet expansion in Asia and defending against the Soviets	2
in wartime.	10
e. 🖒 Military dialog with China should be broadened to	<u>11</u>
include a wider range of matters of mutual interest and	12
at lower levels in the Defense Establishment. This	13
expanded dualog could include a selective program of	14
military observer exchanges, professional discussions,	<u>15</u>
and student exchanges at certain military schools.	16
1. (P) All aspects of the United States-China relationship	17
should be fully reviewed and evaluated. Such an evaluation	18
could best be accomplished through the interagency process.	19
(U) Recommendations	20
a. (27) The United States should proceed cautiously and	21
discretely in continuing to develop a security relationship	22
with China, with the objective of improving Chinese	<u>23</u>
military capabilities in accordance with mutually	24
advantageous goals.	25
b. (#) The United States should encourage progress	<u>26</u>
toward a stable, secure China that can contribute to	27
regional stability and mutual security objectives.	28
c. 🗷 An interagency review of the United States-China	<u>29</u>
security relationship should be conducted as a matter of	<u>30</u>
priority.	31

5.

SECRET NOT RELEASABLE TO POREIGN NATIONALS

ANNEX	1
POSSIBLE AREAS OF UNITED STATES-PRC	2
SECURITY COOPERATION	3
(This annex lists a broad range of possible actions and	4
activities that could have an empact on the United States-China	<u>5</u>
security relationship. It should be clearly understood	6
that the individual actions, as well as the identification	7
of gains and risks accompanying each, are the result of a	8
very limited appraisal. The Joint Chiefs of Staff do not	2
necessarily endorse those activities that it has not	10
specifically approved. The actions or activities are	11
listed herein solely to stimulate further analysis during	12
the recommended interagency review.	13

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, J-5 DECLASSIFY ON 17 APRIL 1987

SPONET NOT RELEASABLE TO POREIGN NATIONALS

** CO+1241	Ë	Would likely confirm Soviet suspicions.	Should have proposals for follow-on contacts well planned.	Advise discretion in following Chalmen, JCS, visit: allor impact to sink in.
SOVIET REACTION**	Rublic opposition: warming.	internal deliberations on how to off- set effects of perceived trend.	Serie de above.	Accelerate deliberations to include regional counter plans to possible US collese cooperation
CHIESE CAPABILITY	Our reciprocate. Linked to recent internal atruggle.	Our reciprocate. May be seen as attempt to influence Chinese internal affairs.	FIA has no direct counter- part.	FLA may be unable to reciprocate.
NS.	Perceived over- cornsitnent.	Rustration frustration if no per- caived forward movement.	Rossibly seen as greater US countinent than intended.	Could contribute to potential PIA opposition to US ties.
NA NA	Maintain Fromentum of relations.	Build on 1980 visits: continue mutual assess- ments.	open US-China to working military level contacts.	Open ties for CINCPAC that could benefit efforts in intelligence, planning, and coordinating allied/friendly
PURPOSE.	Datablish nev US Admin relationship with Chinese Minister of Mational Deferse	Continue monentum with new people.	Begin formal military con- tacte between joint staffs.	Establish theater ties between People's Liberation Army and CINCPAC.
POLICATIONS	No change	No change	No change Likely	No change likely
ACTION	SECUET TABLES	VS/ASP-level Visits	Obliman. JCS, visit	CINCAC VIENT to China

In the absence of clearly defined policy, a change reflects a deviation from current guidelines.
 These entries include information that is SDIRTHNOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS.

SECRE NOT R	ACTION	POLICY	PURPOSE	GALN	RISK	CHINESE CAPABILITY	SOVIET REACTION	CO+ENT
T ELEASABLE T	Service Chief visits to Chine.	No change Likely	Open vorting contacts between Services.	Framework for Service contacts as part of larger policy.	Same as for CINCPAC.	Internal discussion in PLA may hinder utility.	Would see as consistent with view of emerging US-Chine collusion.	Coordinate closely with Chairman, CINCPAC visits. Amy first.
O FOREIGN NATIONALS	Regular OSD- level di mouss como	No change likely	Institution- alize contacts. Frameout for working-level Security Con- sultetions (SCC). Test extent of Chinese willing- ness to cooperate in security matters.	Regular channels of commication. Maintain pulse of developments.	Perceived as joint planning vehicle. Hay become platform for Chinese thetoric.	Recent appointment of Geny Bino as MO indicates support for regular consultation with US.	View as collusion but possibly see as gain if US hecmes frus- trated in deal- ing with Chins.	Sent-armual alternate host.
Annex	Majular Service-to- Service and Major comment consultations.	to charge Likely	Pacilitate professional contact, ex- charge of views on operational/ doctrinal matters.	Regular pattern of contacts, consultations on common interest items.	Possible advance re- actions of Asia allies- military establishment. Chinese my cooperate only superficially.	Ohinese security conscioumness may inhibit regular excharges.	Diplomatic moves and plans to counter closer US-Chinese ties. Exploit Asian fears.	Key is to approach consultations as equals—identify early what the mutual gains are

NOT	RELEASABLE TO	A TREE ON NATIONAL
COPPER	Ocal should be consultation, not egreerants. Confirm credibility to consult or cooperate.	Potential area of for large 15 payoff. Beguires caretalized monitoring by USG, delicate understanding of Chinese persibilities.
SOVIET REACTION	Diplometic moves to undermine cooperation backed by expanded military capability in East Asia.	Hould see as part of mid- to long-term program to upgrade Chirbs capabilities against USSr. Step up wide range of measures to recurter-reinforce borders; approach Soviet sympathizers in PLA.
CHINESE CAPABILLITY	Probably hesitant to participate in multi- lateral form unless recognized as leading member.	Ohinese systems have limited competibility with US. Difficult to merge current US methods with Chinese decentralized system.
P. S.	May be unable to metablish meaningful dialogue. Other Maian nations may reject proposal	Overinvolvement in Chinese rilitary development. Lack of understanding on part of US may hinder relations with FIA.
CALIN	lah multi- identify, discuss J forums contron interests; scussions confront specific mon differences. gic issues, Multilateral co- operation against Soviets.	Introve Chinese ability to support forward defense. Allow US to monitor and possibly influence direction of Chinese military ingrovements.
PURPOSE	Extablish multi- layeral forms for discussions of comon strategic issues.	Enhance Chinese support cap- abilities: set ties for possible future cooperation.
PPLIOTIONS	Mo change	begree of us involvement probably requires new policy consensus, if not policy change
SECON NOT R	DORALISTOR STORY CHAR- SPERIS-SPERIS- OF SPERIS- OF SPERIS-	Supplies of the state of the st

	entral transfer of the control of th	į
CONTRACT.	Potential payoffs for US-C-cess hard Horizon Cooperatory went to be extrained more full. Possible (e) to complex (i.e. to complex (i.e. to priorizon priorizon question.	Fruith are of coperative effort, requiring oareful consideration.
SOUTET REACTION	Probable increase an impolvement, roves to reassure clients.	Ordin inpressions of collusion; step up meaures to offset 16-Oinese oogention.
CHINESE CIPABILITY	Provide direct small anna/advisory assistance to co tiguous areas. Influence in revolutionary directly diminished by pragmetic charges in government.	Chinese could use meetings to explain its strategic goals rather than engage in open dialogue.
RISK I	Replace Soviet with Chinese influence: results may be unpredictable.	US terdency to give more than required. Chinese reluc- tance to share Chinese exploitation of technical data. Om- promise of
GAIN	Chinese insights into revolutionary coverents. Suite attention of revolutionary movements from Soviets to Chinese on US. Part of 91cbal, cooperative effort.	US access to Chinese intel- ligence info; US can selectively feed Chinese information.
PURPOSE	Exploit correnting effects in countering/ reducing Soviet influence in Third World.	Share intel- ligence and views on Soviets: Gain Guinsse per- spactive on Soviet influence/ strategy,
POLICYTORS	Policy of Justician to facilitate arms, tech transfers to Chinese	Requires new State/ LDD approval communitation with Congress
ACTION	Collective security assistance to Third World World Gover-backed activities	Intel Ligence sharing programs— strategic 12 sesment meet ings
SPORE NOT 1	T RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN	NATIONALS

CO+ENT.	Traditional form of inter- change arong nations' Services.	Interrelation- ship smong cavil/military activities offers flex- ibility in working malitary relationship.	Specific program to allow access to unclassified materials.
SOVIET REACTION	See as part of larger pattern of US-Chinese cooperation.	No direct objection.	No direct reaction. May seek to exploit Chinese sources to spain access to US
CHINESE CAPABILITY	Expensive for Chinese to find their part of exchange.	Indigenous methods/ systems limits competibility with US methods.	Chinese reticence in distributing internal documents. Problem of face over concern that indigenous systems may appear backwards relative to US.
RISK	Minimal risk. Need to find way for Chinese to afford.	Chinese about US contributions with little tangible return.	Lack of US control over agencies who may make materials evailable.
GAIN	Better knowledge of Chinese cap- abilities/dis- positions.	Overlap with civil ties. Ontribute to Ohizee econonic infrestructure. Means of facing systems differences in approaches to common problems.	Intelligence gain for US. Better Chinese urderstanding of US systems. Possible influ- ence on Chinese doctrine develop- ment.
PURPOSE	Increase mutual understanding: learn more about PLA.	Expand pro- fessional con- tacts based on civil works ties.	Pacilitate professional excharges.
PLICATION	So charge	dispose	th change. Our rent 050 merorandum requires (154) permission.
ACTION	Bilateral deserver exchange	Expand Strips to change of Engineer contacts	Excharge training course meterial

SECRET NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

CO+EN	Sup visits flexible pol/mil diplomatic tool. Should be pursued.	Flexible tool, similar to ship visits. Requires extensive US-Chinese coordination.	Likely to be the most significant level of assistance reeded.
SOVIET REACTION	Increase pace of own naval expansion. New less stance to Vietnamese. Requests to Ohimese for own visits. Increase presure or ASDN	for port visits. Indicate direct concern. Increase pres- sure on ASDAN for similar eccess. Possibly pos- ture on sensi- tive burder	ing east suspicion of collusion.
CHDEST CAPABILITY	Capable of hosting modern ships. Have indicated continued objection to ship visits while US sells arm to Taiwan.	Probably limit US access to well-brown air fields, areas. Attempt to exploit US presence to arpart technology, portray greater than actual image of compensation.	Chinese likely to be unable to reciprocate.
Σ. Σ.	Oninese demands tied to US abres as les to Taiwen.	Allies may react adversely: some risk of com- promise.	Disclosure matters be- care more important; elevates risk of eccess to material.
GALIN	Ohinese formal ecceptains of US Nevy presence. Intelligence gain. Sign of cooperation in countering expanded Soviet naval presence.	Chunse indication of willingness to cooperate with US. Intelligence gain. Knowledge of Chinsse air fields. Pruncte mitual understanding.	Charese intro- duced to stand- ard US military education system; influence doctrinal developme; of FLA.
PJRP05E	Indicate normal- used malitary relations with Chuna. Lay basis for potential fleet support.	Indicate normalized military relations. Signal willingness to consider mulitary comperation with Chinese.	Provide variety of technical and professional crurses to Chinese rulitary.
PLICATIONS	Moderness of Visits seen as indicator of me comitment.	No Charge. Sure indicator of new corrust- rent as ship vasits.	Yes: especially if fund by Fr6/ International Filitary Ethocation and Training
SPOKET NOT RE	S S S LEASABLE TO FOREIGN	NATIONALS A-7	Particupation to Service to Aming to programs x

00+12vI	Begin with induvidual exchanges. FMO program offers good limitial source of exchange of ficers.	Key to success of survey as to approach as equal partner; recognize Chinese
SOVIET REACTION	Incorporate op- position into propaganda car- paign against US-Chinese col- lusion. Direct against US Asian friends and	Voice strong opposition to US-Chinese collusion. Speed up messures to strenghten security posture elsewhere
CHINESE CAPABILITY	May be too expensive for Chinese to fund their side without US assistance (PPS or DET).	Strong reservation over full scale survey.
RUSK	Chinese may attempt to provide little in return for own exchanges.	Seen as direct escalation of US-Chunese malitary cooperation.
N N	Intelligence gain. Absorb Chinese tech- niques for inner- haian theaters. Begin orientation of US Pacific correctes.	Provide in-depth Brhance know- assessment of ledge of Chinese Chinese military military system: copobilities in basis for arms order to develop sales/security cooperative assistance de- effort, cisions, mili- tary planning.
PURPOSE	Pronoce natual understanding. contribute to development of overall relationship.	Provide in-depth assessment of Chinese military capabilities in order to develop cooperative effort.
POLICATIONS	Yes: in order to authorize soligirents and funding	Yes: symbol of direct military uncolvenent
ACTION	Individual and unat exchange	Security Assistance Survey Team

NOT	RELEASABLE TO	REIGN NATIONALS
COMENT	DOD must respect Chinese seraitivities to appearances of aid/ adviers. Dephasize treatment as equals. Coordinate closely with DAO.	logical step in progression of professional exchanges—rade subject to favorable development of relationship at less involved levels.
SOVIET REACTION	Direct warnings to US and China about defense cooperation.	Direct Soviet propaganda re- action. Step up efforts to undermine US- Oninese co- operation: attract Asian allies.
OIDNESE CAPABILITY	Chirmse may hesitate to allow full-scale DOD: see as indicator of interference. Other countries will request similar facilities.	Training in China very expensive. Chinese healtancy to allow US troops in country of Sensitivity of other Asian countries to Chinese training outside China.
<u>ي</u>	Raise Chirese expectations too high:	Benefits may not be long lasting-not corrensuste with long-term cost of commit- nent implied.
NINS	Direct coordination with Chinese MD. Centralized country coordination capability.	Direct contact with Chinese units. Possible influence on development of Chinese comblitties. Chinese familiarity with US operations.
FURPOSE	In-country of fice to facilitate defense cooperation.	Promote mutual understanding, move toward possible inter- operability.
POLCY	Yes: umplies arms sales: durect military cooperation	Yes: involves direct direct mistary mistary seconges.
ACT TO	Defense Cooperation Office (ECO)	Ombined bilateral trauning

SEC		6	
NOT	RELEASABLE	TO GREIGN	NATIONALS

COMENT.		Muld represent cultination of security relationship. Considered as measure equinat Soriets only under conditions of impending conflict.
SOVIET REACTION	Intense reaction matched by build- up of capabilities. Require more de- tailed planning to counter US- Chinese co- operation.	Cormider directly threatening to mecurity in Bast Asia. Probably take indirect steps to hinder US nowment of units.
CHINESE CAPABILITY	Hesitant to share plarning resources, capabilities,	Training in Chinese territory. Chinese vall attempt to exploit US equipment, systems. Unlikely to move to exercises short of impending conflict.
NS I	International reaction, especially emory allies suspicious of bilateral ties. Onnese may not commit assets, exploit US strength.	
NIN	Close coordination with Chinese against Soxiets. US understanding of Chinese capability and interf. In war against Soviets. Influence Chinese planning.	Close coordination with Chinese in every of global conflict with Soriets. Clear understanding of Chinese capabilities would support US planning.
R.P.POSE	Promote Chimse-US Cooperation in global Couflict.	Prove inter- operability. Brablish copeability to conduct conduct ombined operations. Prove Olinese US coperation in global
DPLICATIONS	Yes: Palor Charge Charge	Yes: Bjor change
ACT 10N	Combined mulitary plaming	Orbined military exercise

3
ρ
7
TRANSFER
Ĕ
•
8
В
Ť
TECHNICO
ş
Ħ
ECUIPMENT
₽
В
تو
ij
Ξ


COMENT	Greatest continued impact would be on US system.	Mutually beneficial purchase.	Ohire se now have agreement to purchase French IMURINE.
SOVIET REACTION	Soviets probably assume favorable US treatment for Chinese.	No direct re- action. Con- sider as part of general military buildup.	View as part of long term, general buildup,
CHINESE CAPABILITY	Chinese unfamiliar with US trapsfer mechanisms.	Purchase of shorthaul aircraft may be on maryin of priority for Chinese purchases. Can absorb technically,	Lack of funds pre- cludes large-scale purchase. Hay lock to operature. tion agreement.
RUSK	Oninese may attempt to exploit by excessive demends for high technology.	Ingrove lift capability for operation against Taiwen and land-connected Asian nations.	Improve lift capability for operations equinat land-operated Asian states.
Section	Greater policy flexibility: allow case-by- case assessment.	Step toward inproving Chinese ability to move and supply FLA. Benefit to US sales. Spinoff contribution to Chinese econony.	Contribute to upgrading Chinese combet doctrine. Sale benefits to the Benefits to the Spinoff benefits a contribute civilian economy.
FURPOSE	Plece Chinese in category that dis- targuishes it from Soviet Bloc.	Enhance Oninese unternal mobility.	Enhance ground force mobility.
PRIORIGE	1980 NSC directive	No change: sales under regotuation	No charge: unarmed version on current ap- proved export control letter
ACTION	Separate control categories for tech- nology transfer	Stort-haul Cargo arr- craft (C-13C)	Utility helicopters

NOT	RELEASABLE TO TREE GR	NATIONALS E	ĸ
Trata	items could include bridge- laying equipment, combat wehicle engine/transpaion uggrade equipment, and air defense search redars. Items must exclude those on Hilliany Critical Technology List.	Good area short of arms sales for 1 US-Chinese cooperation of Srould not include by E-2A or E-2C.	Considered in response to formal OSD request for possible consenters.
SOVIET REACTION	Resport with warth warnings to Maisa countries of US-Chinese collusion.	Hove to counter nav Chinese capability, Forced to improve OPSEC.	Take measures to edapt to possible policy reaction in US.
CHINESE CONBILLITY	Chinese lack funds for major purchases. Locking for models to capy.	Presible Chinese mobility to adapt to applisticated system.	Able to absorb second and third generation equip- ment. State of art is beyond Ohirese requirements.
Z.	Overload Chirese econmic system: will give Chira capability to produce both military and civilian items.	Chinese nay transfer tachnology to South Koree or others.	Churese may transfer technology to Noth Kores or others.
WING.	Onnese experience in dealing with US firms, bureaucracy, Sales to US firms, Chirese familiarity with US equipment.	Improved Chinase early writing system. Open door for co- operation with US.	Prienced Churese artiamor capability.
PURPOSE	Demonstrate movement on both sides toward closer ties within current limits.	Divance Chirace intelligence capabilitues in support of US.	Erhance ground defense capability.
POLICY	to charge: strature orphasis on active ties	Technically owered by export control letter bit would require high-level approval	Yes, if Chinese re- quest beyond first and second generation
ACTIO	Actively encourage and assis: Onnese purchase of dual-use equipment approved for sale	Recon- Haissance equipment. eerial connuli- cation Systems, Side Looking Airtorne Redar	Option: image intensify- ing equip- ment

SECRET NOT RELEASABLE TO FUREIGN NATIONALS			5	
COMPA	offer to charge guide- lines should from a much objectives- erhancement of churse capa- bilities and multilateral cooperation.	Mould represent me for step towards direct military cooperation. Leaves much room for marsuvering in relationships.	Requires controlled. centralized US management. Initial, items could include: F-8 upgrade equipment antitank quided missiles (ATDMs), air-to-eir missiles, air defense weapons.	
SOVIET PENCTION	Actively oppose, especially by preseuring IMTO allies. Hay also denard similar access.	Low-level apposition con- sistent with apposition to increased formal contacts.	increase direct and indirect efforts to counter expanded sales.	
CHINESE CAPABILITY	Mallingness to absorb whatever can be bought from Mest, will shop for best buys.	Leck of funds for defense moderniza- tion may preclude participation for some time.	Will attempt to make minimam pur- chases, keep market alive at minimam comt.	
RISK	Loose competition arong members to sell technology to China.	Requests may strain US system.	US may not carchestrate policy effective-ly. Chira could use equinat. US friends.	
GAIN	Greater Chinese access to technology. Set up framework for common approach to military assistance.	Pacilitate seess to US mulitary equipment, training. Step toward normalized mulitary relations.	Enhance access to modern weapons system/ improve combat capabilities.	
PURDSE	Pacilitate and coordinate multi- lateral efforts to enhance Churese military capability.	Allow same access to US military facilities, goods as other monallied, friendly nations.	Permit arms	
POLICATIONS	Yes: inter- national aproval by marters.	es S	Yes: major decision	
ACTION	Revise Occulinating Orn- mattee quidelines to facilitate multi- lateral transfer of technology	Eligibility for 196 end DET	Name of the series of the seri	
SECRET NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS Annex A-13				

SEPRET 1	7		
NOT RELEASABLE	10	FORETCN	NATIONALS

TOP COMPENT	al Prioraty to Arrol and aut defense. May 4, include amor upprade atems.	ensate ned Dility - An pers-
SOVIET REACTION	Mapt tectical dectrine to eccamodate improved AICH, air defense. Upgrede Asia forces to retain edge.	Hove to corpensate directly for new Olinese capability in order toa.n margin of supersority.
CHINESE CAPABILITY	Priority weapons purchases. Lack of funds would preclude large-scale purchases. Locking to develop production capability, Begin with 2d/3d-generation equipment, not state of arr.	Lack of funds. Attempt to exploit small number of copies. Time required to adapt/train pilos/crees and development of doctrine will slow down Chinese deployment.
P. S.	Chinese exploit access to US technology, circument sales agreements. Basis for updated weapons industry.	Enhance capebalish and a capebalish and consecution of the capebalish and produce on one. Us involvement in training and teaching maintenance, etc.
GAIN	Pasitive step toward offsetting Soviet ground threat. Intro- duce US weapons system-allow to break seay from old Soviet designs.	Enhanced Chinese ability to counter Soviet armor threat. Step toward development of modern combat doctrine.
RYPOSE	Drhance ground force capabilities against Soviets.	Significantly enhance Chinese ground force capability, in particular artiarnor.
POLICATIONS	Yes: congressional approval to arend arms export control letter	Yes: direct ams transfer
ACTION	Allow sale of conven- tions) ground force weapon systems	Attack heli- copters



(DICTIOSURE) IS

THE ROBERT CHARLES OF SALE

JCSM-159-81 11 May 1981

MEHORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DIFFERSE

Subject: United States-Ching Security Relationship (U)

- 1. (5) Since US recognition of the Bople's Republic of China (PRC) in Becember 1978, the United States-China relationship has expanded rapidly. Although manifested primarily in increased contacts between high-level defense officials, that relationship has also resulted in a number of policy decisions impacting on accurity issues. Authorization for increased Chinese commercial thip visits to US ports, granting of overflight routes to the Civil Aviation Administration of China, and approval to sell certain types of military-related equipment to China are examples. These contacts and decisions, though, have occurred in the absence of a broad national policy providing direction and puripose to the evolving relationship.
- 7. (5) A occid clearly exists for a comprehensive national policy review addressing the hole of China in DS necurity. That need is undersected by the complex interrelationships between the civilian and military aspects of US policy and the serious Soviet challenge faced by the United States over the next occade—a challenge characterized by an increasingly assertive Soviet foreign policy. Soviet military planners have devoted a significant share of their not unlimited resources to forces opposite China. Thus, any expanded security relationship between the PRC and the United States will likely influence Soviet strategy. Management of that relationship could be one of the more significant security tasks of the 1980s.
- 3. (U) From a security standpoint, the interagency review whould address, but not be limited to:
 - a. (m) The role of China in US security.
 - b. (%) Areas of possible military cooperation, with assessment of gains and risks.
 - c. [6] Actions the Chinese are now taking or could take that would serve US interests, e.g.:
 - (1) (S) Countering Soviet expansionism and influence in Asia and elicebete.

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, J-5 DECLASSIFY ON 17 APRIL 1987

SECRET JCS 2118/292-2

Enclosure B

11

- (2) (2) Supporting itability in Asia, especially on the korean peninsula and in the China Sea.
- (3) (6) Strengthening PRC defenses against the Soviet Union.
- (4) (5) Expanding dualog on a number of other areas of common interest.
- (5) (6) Cooperation in global conflict.
- d. (9) Integration of military and civilian initiatives in nevelopment of a long-range policy.
- 4. (p) The assessment in the Annex to the Appendix was conducted by the Joint Staff in conjunction with the Services, PACOH, and DJA. It provides an overview of the major elements and implications of a United States-China security relationship and is submitted as the des contribution to a more comprehensive interagency pulley review.
- 5. (0) The Joint Chiefs of Staff recommend that:
 - a. () The United States proceed cautiously and discretely in continuing to develop a security relationship with China, with the objective of improving Chinese military capabilities in accordance with mutually advantageous goals.
 - b. (a) The United States encourage progress toward a stable, secure China that can contribute to regional stability and mutual objectives.
 - c. (F) A memorandum, substantially like that in the Appendix, with its Annex, recummending that an interagency review be conducted as a matter of priority, be sent to the Secretary of State.

12

For the Joint Chiefs of Staff:

THOR HANSON Vice Admiral, USN Director, Joint Staff

Attachments

Enclosure A (less the Annex)

SECRET JCS 2118/292-2

Enclosure 8

APPENDIX TO ENCLOSURE B

DRAFT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Subject: United States-China Security Relationships (U)

1. (#) Since DS recognitio of the People's Republic of
China (PRC) in December 1978, relationships between the two
nations have expanded rapidly. In addition to increased
contacts between government officials, a number of policy
decisions that impact on security issues have been made
during that brief period.

- 2. (JF) Since the relationship has been of strategic benefit to both China and the United States, and in view of the potential for expansion of security aspects of our relation—ship with China, it is an appropriate time to review our national policy regarding China. From a security standpoint, an interagency review should address, but not be limited to, actions the Chinese are taking or could take that would serve US interests; the role of China in US security; areas of possible defense cooperation between the two nations; and the integration of military and civilian initiatives in the development of our long-range policy.
- 1. (**) The attached assessment was conducted by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, provides an overview of the major elements and implications of a United States-China security relationable, and is submitted as a contribution to a more comprehensive interagency policy review.
- 4. (B) I look forward to participating in an interagency review of such importance and hope you find our contribution helpful.

| Enclosure A, less Annex

CLASSIFIED BY DIRECTOR, J-5 DECLASSIFY ON 17 APRIL 1987

ы**лж**ил JCS 2118/292-2 Appendix to Inclosure B 1 1